QWEST DEPRECIATION WAIVER EX PARTE August 11, 2005 FOLLOW-UP QUESTION RESPONSES

1. Accounting for retirements and costs of removal. Describe the affect the adoption of FAS 143 had to Quest. Was the reserve balance adjusted to remove the salvage impact? If so, what was the impact?

<u>Response</u>: Following is an excerpt from the Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2003, that describes the affect the adoption of FAS 143 had on Qwest.

New Accounting Standards

On January 1, 2003, we adopted SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations", or SFAS No. 143, which addresses financial accounting and reporting for obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets and the associated asset retirement costs, generally referred to as asset retirement obligations. SFAS No. 143 requires entities to record the fair value of a legal liability for an asset retirement obligation. If a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made, the fair value of the liability shall be recognized in the period it is incurred, or if not, in the period a reasonable estimate of fair value can be made. This cost is initially capitalized and then amortized over the estimated remaining useful life of the asset. We have determined that we have legal asset retirement obligations associated with the removal of a limited group of long-lived assets and recorded a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle charge upon adoption of SFAS No. 143 of \$7 million (an asset retirement obligation of \$12 million net of an incremental adjustment to the historical cost of the underlying assets of \$5 million) in 2003.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 143, we included in our group depreciation rates estimated net removal costs (removal costs less salvage). These costs have historically been reflected in the calculation of depreciation expense and therefore recognized in accumulated depreciation. When the assets were actually retired and removal costs were expended, the net removal costs were recorded as a reduction to accumulated depreciation. While SFAS No. 143 requires the recognition of a liability for asset retirement obligations that are legally binding, it precludes the recognition of a liability for asset retirement obligations that are not legally binding. Therefore, upon adoption of SFAS No. 143, we reversed the net removal costs within accumulated depreciation for those fixed assets where the removal costs exceeded the estimated salvage value and we did not have a legal removal obligation. This resulted in income from the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle of \$365 million before taxes upon adoption of SFAS No. 143 in 2003. The net income impact of the adoption is \$219 million (\$365 million less the \$7 million charge disclosed above, net of income taxes of \$139 million). Beginning January 1, 2003, the net costs of removal related to these assets are being charged to our consolidated statement of operations in the period in which the costs are incurred.

Breakdown of amounts used in 10-K disclosure:

	(SM's) Reported
Reversal of Excess Removal Costs	365
Net Asset	5
Retirement Obligation	(12)
Net Asset Retirement Ohligation	(7)
Comulative Effect	358
Taxes	(139)
Net Income Impact	219

2. <u>Plant account install and retirement</u>. Provide a detailed example of how a plant account install and retirement (including salvage impacts) would be accounted for under Qwest's financial books and regulatory books.

Response: See Attachment 1.

3. FAS 143. Clarify how Qwest's interpretation of FAS 143 relates to the "legal obligation" to remove an asset.

Response: Qwest uses the following definition of legal obligation contained in paragraph 2 of SFAS 143, "a legal obligation is an obligation that a party is required to settle as a result of an existing or enacted law, statute, ordinance, or written or oral contract or by legal construction of a contract under the doctrine of promissory estoppel [footnote omitted]."

4. <u>Pole attachments</u>. How might this waiver request impact Qwest's prices for pole attachments?

<u>Response</u>: Current Qwest annual pole attachment rates for cable providers, using the FCC's methodology and presumptions, are in the range of \$.70 - \$3.00.\textsuperior{1}{1} The impact of the waiver request would slightly narrow that range, from \$.90 - \$2.90, with about half the states seeing some increase and the other half seeing a decrease in rates. The overall Qwest rate would change very little.

5. <u>ARMIS</u>. Why doesn't the net book cost amount (\$16B) included in Attachment A to the waiver tie to Qwest's ARMIS report?

<u>Response</u>: The net book cost amount does tie to Qwest's ARMIS report as shown in Attachment II.

6. Net book calculations. Provide supporting information (gross plant and reserve amounts) to the net book calculations included in Attachment A of the waiver.

<u>Response</u>: The amounts that were included in Qwest's waiver for depreciation regulation, WC 05-259, are highlighted in Attachment II.

7. <u>Reserve balances</u>. Provide Qwest's regulated and financial reserve balances and percentages.

Response: See Attachment II.

In Quest's territory, one state, Oregon, uses a different assumption for usable pole space and is, therefore, outside the given range. However, the impact of this waiver will be a slight reduction in the Oregon pole rates.

Owest Corporation
Depreciation Assessment Compension
Depreciation Assessment Compension

ATTACHMENT I Page 1 of 2

to distingui plays pumpares for according staphents of consorting in parties as grown "need equiphlate or the company's Magalatin's blocks to fixer the Printment leads to grown "need equiphlate or the company's Magalatin's blocks to fixer the Printment of the Control of the C

																						****	V 1*	Secret SI		Yes	1 24		
Regulatory Becks	Year b	Year 1	7em f	Year 1	Year 4	Year	10013	7494 7	Yaar b	74407	Y-4- 15	Year bi	Year 12	Year 13	794/ 54	Year 15	. 144 16	Ven: 17	V	180 11	740-30	T44: 25	-15-14			Out of		-	
	Place A sear	Daptes.	-	Dec-14	Depres	Popras	Depart.	Separat.	Dec-t-		-	Dagrag.	-	D-	Depris	Bears.)	-	Dep-ran		Co-parter:	-	Deares	-	Depres.			****	L
siener Sfett																										19.0	100		(30.400
Cook	(12.000)																								12,660			(12,000)	
Ormer Plant	12.807	12 40 0	12,000	(2.000 (2.140)	(2,600)	(1,230)	12 BOD (5.190)	12.84C (3.809)	12,600 (1,606)	12,000 (2,050)	12 0000	12.000 (8.368)	13,900	12,860	17.005 (11.706)	12 800	(7 800 (13 800)	12,000	(15,300)	12 MMO (85,164)	12,000	12,000	12.000 (18.792)	12,000 (15,860)	(70.400)	9,800	500	12,000	<u>i</u>
Artests No Pari	-1,002	11196		1.410	4.000	7.754	6 PK	6 850	5.20C	1,360	3 100	2.450	1,800	790	100	(750)	(1,000)	(7,66B)	[3,306]	(4,130)	ps. mine)	(L (final)	ps. Year	(7.660)	(9 400)	W.000	incet	٠	
Form Arcon		11.190	19.304	9.455	J.100	7756	4 864	6.050	5,204	4.76	2 100	1.454	1,800	150	10:	(730)	(1,890)	7 454	[3,300]	14,150	[5,900)	1 BAD	% 700ı	(7,440)	(4.00)		0		(21. e/x
· om actor													710	'u s esiati		(12 150)	(1) éspo	112 480	414 3666	768 1909	J17 (60b)	/17 ESO:	111 7001	(19,510)	(20,400)	(£10,660)	20.400	(30 400)	(20,480
benny		[Heb)	(Jos.)	C.330	0 456	(4.250	gt. rom	(5 157)	(6,860)	7,050,	(4,100)	7 150	11:7	(11.000)	111,141	[[2 140]	1.2.000	[14,450]	(10.000	140,.30	111.404	17,444	112.00	112,221					
Bull-turi																													
(popular Paran Marapanagama Laparaga																													
Lisersepton kapengo		144	116	954	150	450	951	450	+54	850	860	154	160	A10	THE .	860	959	160	100	ESC	RSC	₩20	454	104	144				20.40
Not Marine		(ING-	- (A30)	1850	(050)	(850)	A 50	Teiso:	(85-71	45	1960.	(650)	(856)	(850)	MAGO	[850)	1850	1441	(850)	150	ristor	()1651	1960)	(630)	(450)	- 6	0	f	56 165
					11.0	71.																							
																							b ba			Yes			
mencial Books	3 661 4	1 884 1	Tree!	Tabl 3	Tear +			_1=1	Y 002 4	=	74011	Year 11	Tase 12	1 aur 17	700 11	Tet: 14	Year 19	7 887 17	' 1'	140.11	10017-	Yes 21	***************************************			0411			
	-	Dagona	De pres.	bester	beren.	-	Delman	-	Congress	Dagerta	****	Depres	Bayrot	D- 450-	-	terre.	Dayren	****	-	beene	0-10-10	-	Depart.	C-10-	840746.	******	-		-
krisnee Sheet																										O 000	-		20 00
	11 (.000)																									19.000	_		
Ureas Part	12 OOL	12 DOC	12 POF	12 10	12,000	17 550	17 JEE	12 000	17,600	12.000 (988, M	12,900 (5,000)	12 660 (5.500)	11 900 (6,000)	12,000	17,000	12.000 (2.100)	1) COC (8 SQC)	17 BOC (4 500)	17.000 (9.000)	12,000	17,000 [10,000]	17 86c [10,660)	12,000	(12,000	(3.000 [12.000]			(12.000) 12.000	
Reserve Not Pean	17,906	100	11,000	10 100	10,000	[//509] .	(3 500)	(1,640) # 500	14,500) 6,004	7,500	7,000	9,80	5,000	0 toc	5.030	1101	4,960	3 500	1,000	2,544	7 860	1,500	1.600	500	0			•	
		-		7248	The section	0.550	D 000	- Tabe	> 00*	1 800	7 000	D.SUT	1.564	186	1 900	102.4	(pec	3 160	1,000	2300	3 000	1,500	1,000	500		(0.000)	564	٠.	go ua
T pink Aprents		500	15 DK	15 460										. ,						2.44		(10,500)	71 (1660	15.000	70.886	(21,00c)	Pr Men	70.65	200 eat
Sept.		(500	1,000	(1,366)	13,549	(2 500)	1, 100	(1 sec.	/4 BOD)	14 146	(2 (egc)	;5,58¢)	(c 900	6 90	[1,000,	[7 540)	(0.000)	17,000;	(0,000)	15 300	110300	110,500/	(IX BOO)	11,500	111,000	3, ,,,,,,			
Quitagle Plant Management Ecolorite																										9,400	(color)		
December Laborat		-01			400	540	590	190	šek	500	564	500	500	100	200	1400	MC	100	BOT	500	940	944	***	pist	164				12 800
					(500	iteti	raca .	- 500	1580	1900	(00)	f360i	13601	/1001	(680)	- no.	(940)	(3-90)	r\$6c1	choo.	(D49)	(\$40)	(500)	(500)	(500)	(9,000	606	· ·	(20.400
the Martin		(599)	1200	200	Gon.	(101)	Hen	- NORT	(MgV)	19947	1,5	(390)																	
	R	aguatory B	naka.	-	kumentel De	HES																							
Copt of new Parama Programment (26 puers					3400																								
Con: of Pos		160			100																								
Control Prints (20 sees-4) sillings		10 000			16 800																								
		1.000			2 000																								
respetator (75 protos 🗗 1000aut);																													

Annual Paris	Regumery Books	Firmoutei Books
Cost of som Paramia tragatiment (26 puers		
Cont of Post	540	5400
Programmes	160	100
Capt of Prints (20 sees-Q schines	10-006	16 860
radpristant (FE protes @ 1986aut)	2,000	2 000
Depreguitor: Parameters		
forement Upolici Uli	74	24
Francisco Represent Cost %.	TE%	75%
Estimated Darvings Vision	15.	5%
Derivores Ker Caradition		
Part carrier for the countries	170%	108%
	- BDN - Tirken Net Selvent -	(100%)
Copropier form	7.00%	4 17%
.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	or Toront of fact of the	31000cc 25m 1.m

ATTACHMENT I

Quest Carpotation

Compation Assuming Compation

Regulatory of Regulatory States as the second of th

Acquerial Agust Mair smant Old gallos Assemption Kope II as unus mil Kular	Depression Hate	Ownersation Rain Consulation Percent to be country of	E present pays of a re-	Contract of the second	landening to brend and landening	Cost of Pules (II) pass (II) Corpus,	Cost of Puls	Cost of New Putaling on missage (a) poets,			Deprinciation Expense ARO Depresention According Expenses	Optide Plast Marrienance Expense	(ACOPS Ministered	Aussel Neisromond Oshquellon Equally Total Lakelskum & Equally	Tutal Assuels	To Private	Groot Plant AND Asset blackers APU Shours	£	Balance Sheet		Front Pools	Ned Income	Uspraciation Expenses	Quinte Start Malesseaston Expense	Inspere Blatement	Equity	Yotal Assets	Hed Flanc	Gross Plant Reserve	0	Balanca Shapi	Regulatory Books
,		(fac							_					12.701)	7 /9)	196 1.	12.300 7.791	(12,000)		Î	Ĩ						p	12,000	12,600	(17,000)	Ž,	ž.
	1.00% 1.00%	viim (100% - Fulliva Nat Batrage)	5 4	;	2,000	0.000	8 8			744	8 4 2			(2 man) (2 man) (3 man)	14 174	14.1/4	12,000 2,791 (00%)			P	į	1900	8			(86)	120	10,196	12,000		Î	į
	•	Patragel							l	i de	1 2 2			(1,518)	13 559	11,562	12 000 2 781 (1 000)			1		860	ě			(1.700)	900	10 300	12,00g		9	Ĕ
	æ								,	7//0	£ # \$			(2,230) (2,234) (5,619)	17 947	2 642	12,000 2,789 (1,560)			1		(850)	£			[2 SS0]	\$	ŧ	17,000 (2,550)		Î	į
ŝ	117 117 117	(MOS)	3	ž	7 100	r in	2 6				5 - E			15 ASS	11 122	12 336	7 /s+ (2 000)			1		350	8			3 460	0.400	600	12 000		į	Ĭ.
	•							•		2	178 6			(14)	11,700		12,000 (7,000) (7,000)			1		Š	š			(4 250)	7750		12,600		1	ř.
										É	3 €			(3.740) (4.647) (8.386)	11 083		11 000 2 797 13,000 1880)			1		(866)	ğ			5 100	909	ì	13. 1300		1	Ĩ
											ŧäß			5 450) (5 450)	10 477		12,000 2,761 (3,540)			Depre 1	į	100	8			(004 1) (854 5)	9.050		12,000 I		2	E.
									Ī		£ 5 8			(4,123) (6,63)	9 866		12,000 1,741 1,400					ESC	8				\$ 200		13,300 1 (608.8)		1	1
											8 7 2			() (sp. ()	9 244 4	i	1000 to 000 to 0			Copper, 4 Da	!	(853)	86			(050)	t Ma		12 000 13 (/ 650) 13		7	Ĩ
											2 2 8			(1) (89 21) (4 (40 2)) (4 (40 2))	1 828		12,000 12 2,791 2 15,000 15			Departs. A		(Add)	8			10 500: 10 350	1500 Z		13.000 12 (8.500) 15		Degra	ĩ
											2 - 8			(1) (MES (1) (2)	1012		12 000 12: 275: 37 15:500; [6:2			According Association	Ĭ =	(850) (84	Auto Acut				2000		12,000 U 000 (13,001) 13,001		Day of Day of	Keap 5) Team 17
											500 330 116 1:4 234 251			(5.012) 5,2 (3.616) (18, (14.628) (18,	7 385 6 779	1	12 July 12 000 3 /191 2 781 16 July 16 500) 11 July 17 5 123			1		(850) (450)	2			no 2001, (11 250)	950	- 1	200 12,000 200 (11,000)		Ī	12 1
										_	300			5.562\ (5.525) 7.0.453\ (11.362) 15.345\ (15.645)	79 6 183		00 12 000 2 781 00 (7 700)				Ē	9				60) (11,600)	Š		60 12.000 0 12.000		1	is il
										Ē	50. 20.			25 (5 801) (27) (5.253) (28) (5.253)	5 5.6		2 781 2 781			n. A. Dagite, A.		1996				001 (12750)	19	1	20 12 0000 (4) (12 /56)		9	1
									į		13 to 25			(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	96.9.1		0 12,000 1 2,751 01 (8,000) 41 (1,800)			A Dayson A		í s	150				(1 000)		000001		Ī	ř
											5 1 8			2, (6.365) (1) (14.052) (2) (20.476)	1		0 1,977) 1,000 1,000			A Depres a		(850)	20			011148	2 40		12,000		Ī	1
											11 50 11 50 12 50			6) (21.754)	2 804		2,791 1,791 10 (8-1044)			- 1	Ĭ	(800)	2			(13.500) (14.450) (15.30 0	(3.300		17,000		į	7 7 200 31
										5	1 3 E			17 DEC	1991		12,781 1,780 1,780 1,780 1,720				í	(0.28	į			118 150	1 130		11 000		Ī	144
									Ì	N. Co.	£ = 8			(7 404) 24 344	45		12 Unit (16,020) (3,226)			i		(652)	ğ			(7.000)	15 000		12,000		ľ	744.36
										9	37 E			(7.7%) 117.53 (75.73)	. 948		12 to 51 16 to 52 16					(156)	ķ			11/ 8501	24 25 26	3.143	12,000 [12,000		ſ	1
										3	£ 2 8			[7 776] (3 184] [17 225] (13 43] [25 735] [27 184]	175	1255	2 (3 to 2) 2 to 20 2 to 20			D.	ž E		Ē			(10,793)	1000	(a) Yea()	17,000		1	1
										1.024	1 28			118 45-5) [49 527]	and	\$10	7.00 1.100 1.000 1			, and	Ĭ	1001	8			(18,700) (19,550)	0.50	0.50	(19.56) (19.56)		*	Ě
										- DME	18 7 8			(30 000) (30 000)	۵	٥	12,000 12,000 12,000					650	Ē			(20 400)	(6.400)	(0.400)	12,600		Î	
														60 600 6 000	19 630		1	(W.CAU)		Copf of		B				20 400	0		ww e	18,000	Respect	Con a
										400		iton.		88	600			ğ		- April	ŀ	٥						(600)	1600)	Ē	į	2
										٥				6	D		(2.794) (2.794) (2.791) 2.791			Same Asset		ь				(20 400) 755 400)	٥	۵	12,000		Begger, Ayana	- 1
										724.400	12 000 2 725 9:209	Ē		20 430) (20 430)	(20 400)			¥		Life of Asset		[26 400]	in the			(29, 400)	(29,400)	o	00	120,4001		

Qwest Corporation 1/1/2005 Net Book Detail (\$000)

		Finan	cial Reporting (FI	R)	FCC	Reporting (MR)		Net Plant	Reserve	Percent
Account	Category	Investment	Reserve	Net Plant	nvestment	Reserve	Net Plant	Difference	FR	MR
		•	Þ	c=a+b	đ	•	†= d+e	G=0-1	h=b/a	i=e/0
2111 JANO		100.674	D	100.674	100,674		100,674	0	0.0%	0.0%
2112 MOTOR V	/EHICLES	371,554	(245,003)	126,551	371,554	(261,157)	110,397	16,154	65.9%	70.3%
21 13 AIRCRAF		1.875	(825)	1,050	1,675	(848)	1.026	23	44 0%	45.2%
2113 AIROIGI	NO OTHER WORK EQUIP	294.521	(169,500)	125 022	294,521	(84,174)	210.347	(85,326)	57.6%	26.6%
2121 BUILDING		2,796,656	(936.098)	1,860,558	2.784.408	(803,305)	1,981,104	(120,548)	33.5%	28.9%
2122 FURNITU		3 164	(2,750)	414	3 164	(2,775)	389	25	66.9%	87.7%
2122 ARTWOR	M.C.	1.130	(L, 100)	1,130	1,130	(=,· -2	1.130	0	0.0%	0.0%
2123 OFFICE E	O UDA CAIT	65,E15	(57,394)	8,421	85,880	(58,522)	7,138	1,283	87,2%	89.1%
2124 GEN PUR	POSE CHOTE	1,419,528	(1,385,638)	53.889	1,424,541	(1,391,103)	33,438	20,451	98.2%	97.7%
SUB TOTAL GENERA	L SUPPORT	5,054.918	(2,777,209)	2,277,700	5,047,528	(2.601.883)	2,445,645	(187,935)	54.9%	51.5%
208 101Xt 0=112			,							
2211 ANALOG	SW EQUIP				0	39,191	39,191	(39,191)	0.0%	0.0%
2212 DIGITAL	SW EQUIP	7,702,056	(5 403,696)	2,298,361	7.458,970	(4,205,618)	3,280,352	(961,991)	70.2%	56.3%
2220 OPERATO		18,828	(14,033)	4,795	18,790	(10,874)	7,916	(3,121)	74.5%	57.9%
2231 RADIO S		233,800	(202,709)	31,090	233,658	(206,304)	27.364	3,727	B5 7%	88.3%
2232 CIRCUIT		10,574,672	(7,086,613)	3.488,250	10,583,363	(7.720,645)	2.862.718	625,541	67.0%	73.0%
SUB TOTAL CENTRAL	OFFICE	18,529,556	(12,707,051)	5.822,505	18,304,791	(12,107,250)	8,197,541	(375,036)	88.6%	66.1%
	ADDADATI IS	35,985	•	35,988	35,985	(15.615)	20,370	15,618	0.0%	43.4%
2311 STATION			3		25	1,379	1.404	(1,379)		-5485.0%
2341 LARGE P		28	(0)	25 0	20	(0)	1,404	(1,51.6)	127 1%	100.0%
	ELEPHONE EQUIPMENT	0	0		408,520	(240,023)	168,497	(86,748)	80.0%	58.8%
	ERMINAL EQUIPMENT	408.542	(326,792)	81,750	444,531	(254,260)	190,271	(72.508)	73.5%	57.2%
SUB TOTAL INFORMA	ATION ORGINATION / TERMINATION	444,552	(326.789)	117,763	444,331	(234,260)	180,271	(12,300)	/ U. J R	27.27
2411 POLE LIN	ie\$	261 67€	(177,909)	83,766	261,604	(327,327)	(65,723)	149,490	68.0%	125.1%
2421 AERIAL C		1,34\$ 548	(939,967)	405.579	1,344,880	(1.055,327)	289,553	116.027	59 9%	78.5%
2422 UNDERG		3,464 451	(2.677,081)	767 369	3,461,019	(2 405,632)	1,055,387	(268,017)	77.3%	69.5%
2423 BURIED (10.71 588	(6.807,263)	3,904,305	10,574,847	(7,213.892)	3,380,956	543.349	63.6%	68 2%
2424 SUBMAR		1: 702	(9,11t)	2,691	11,691	(8.524)	3,168	(577)	77.0%	72.9%
2426 INTRABJ		389.217	[287,003]	92.214	389.176	(336,420)	52.758	39.459	76.3%	86.4%
2431 AERIAL V		43,544	(35,518)	8,026	43,544	(70,782)	(27,218)	35,244	81,6%	162.5%
2431 CONDUIT		2,286.042	(719,865)	1.566.177	2,261,996	(734,457)	1.547,530	18.638	31.5%	32.2%
SUB TOTAL CABLE A		16,513,766	(11,663,737)	6 850 020	18,388,757	(12,152,340)	6,216,416	633,613	63.0%	56.2%
	ore amortizable assets)	42,542,792	(27,474,786)	15.068,006	42,155,606	(27,115,733)	15.049,872	18,134	64.6%	64.3%
TUTAL 11 JO (GC)			1-11-1-1-1							
2681 CAPITAL	LEASE	34,815	(14,313)	20,502	34,815	(14,313)	20,502	o	41.1%	41.1%
2582 LEASEH	OLD IMPROVEMENTS	57,493	0	57 493	57.488	0	57 488	5	0.0%	0.0%
SUB TOTAL AMORTE	ABLE TANGIBLE ASSETS	92,307	(14,313)	77,995	92,302	(14,313)	77,989	5	15,5%	15 5%
SEGO INTANGI	BLES	790,145	Ó	790,145	808,548	0	808,548	(18,403)	0.0%	0.0%
TOTAL TELEPH	ONE PLANT IN SERVICE	43,425,244	(27,489,099)	15,936,146	43.066,456	(27,130,046)	16,936,410	(264)	63,3%	63.0%
god3 PLANE U	NDER CONSTRUCTION	107,257	(161)	107,096	107,034	(161)	106,873	222	0.2%	0.2%
	ERATING PLANT	5,944	Ċ	5,944	5.944	0	5,944	B	0.0%	0.0%
	NOER CONST AND NON-OP	113.201	(161)	113,040	112.978	(161)	112,817	222	D.1%	0.1%
GRAND 1	TOTAL	43,538,446	(27,489,260)	16,049,186	43,179,434	(27,138,207)	16,049,227	(41)	63.1%	62.8%

Date filed in Attachment A of Owest's Weiver of Deprecation Regulation, WC 05-259



EX PARTE OR LATE FILED



Qwest 607 14" Street NW, Suite 950 Washington, DC 20005 Phone 202.429.3122 Fax 202.293.0561

Ed Henry Director - Finance ORIGINAL

REDACTED -FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

February 9, 2006

EX PARTE

RECEIVED

VIA HAND DELIVERY

FEB - 9 2006

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission Room TW B-204 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554 Federal Communications Commission
Office of Secretary

Rc:

In the Matter of Petition of Qwest Corporation for Waiver of Depreciation Regulation Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.3 – WC Docket No. 05-259¹

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On January 30, 2006, Ed Henry and Jerome Mueller (in person), and Betty Knapp and Marti Gude (by phone), all of Qwest, met with Rodger Woock, Steve Morris, Fatina Franklin, Stephen Steckler, Rick Robinson, and Ronald Kaufman of the Wireline Competition Bureau to discuss the above-captioned Petition for Waiver.

During the meeting, the Commission staff requested that Qwest provide additional information relating to the curve shape parameter of the depreciation rate element of the pole attachment calculation. The attached document provides the requested information.

With this letter, Qwest is voluntarily submitting confidential material pursuant to both FCC Rules 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.457 and 0.459. Attached hereto is a redacted version of the confidential material which has been marked: "REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION". The confidential data is competitively sensitive information and should not be made available for public inspection. A release of this data would have a substantial negative competitive impact on Qwest. Qwest provides justification for such confidential treatment in the attached Appendix. If Qwest's request for confidential treatment of this information is denied by the Commission, Qwest requests that the information be returned to Qwest pursuant to § 0.459(e) of the Commission's Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 0.459(e).

No. of Copies red'd OT List ABCDE

See Public Notice, 20 FCC Rcd 13836 (rel. Aug. 22, 2005).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch February 9, 2006

Page 2 of 2

A copy of this letter (in confidential form and marked "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION"), with the confidential material appended thereto, is also being filed simultaneously today in WC Docket No. 05-259.

Pursuant to FCC Rule 47 C.F.R. § 1.1206(b), this ex parte presentation is being filed via hand delivery. An original and one copy are being submitted as well as one copy to be stamped as received and returned to the messenger who has been instructed to wait for it.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Sincerely,

Enclosures (Redacted Material, Appendix)

cc: Tamara Preiss (Tamara Preiss@fcc.gov)

Fatina Franklin (Fatina Franklin@fcc.gov)

Steven Morris (Steve. Morris@fcc.gov)

Richard Robinson (Richard Robinson @fcc.gov)

Ronald Kaufman (Ronald Kaufman@fcc.gov)

Rodger Woock (Rodger. Woock@fcc.gov)

Stephen Steckler (Stephen Steckler@fcc.gov)

APPENDIX

Confidentiality Justification

Qwest requests confidential treatment of the data being provided, because the data contains information which is competitively sensitive and would have a negative competitive impact on Qwest were it made publicly available. Such data should be afforded confidential treatment under both 47 C.F.R. § 0.457 and § 0.459.

47 C.F.R. § 0.457

The data in Attachment 1 are confidential and proprietary to Qwest as "commercial or financial information" under Section 0.457(d). Disclosure of such information to the public would risk revealing company-sensitive proprietary information in connection with its business plans. Therefore, in the normal course of Commission practice this information should be considered "Records not routinely available for public inspection."

47 C.F.R. § 0.459

The data in Attachment 1 are also subject to protection under 47 C.F.R. § 0.459, as demonstrated below.

Information for which confidential treatment is sought

Qwest requests that Attachment 1 be treated on a confidential basis under Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act. The spreadsheet, Attachment 1, contains competitively-sensitive data which Qwest maintains as confidential and is not normally made available to the public. Release of the data would have a substantial negative competitive impact on Qwest.

Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted

In response to a request from Rodger Woock, Steve Morris, Fatina Franklin, Stephen Steckler, Rick Robinson and Ronald Kaufman of the Wireline Competition Bureau regarding Petition of Qwest Corporation for Waiver of Depreciation Regulation Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.3 – WC Docket No. 05-259.

Degree to which the information in question is commercial or financial, or contains a trade secret or is privileged

The financial information designated as confidential is detailed confidential commercial financial data and accounting information. As noted above, the data is competitively sensitive information which is not normally released to the public as such release would have a substantial negative competitive impact on Qwest.

Degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition; and Manner in which disclosure of the information could result in substantial competitive harm

This type of information would generally not be subject to routine public inspection under the Commission's rules (47 C.F.R. § 0.457(d)), demonstrating that the Commission already anticipates that release of this kind of information likely could produce competitive harm.

Measures taken by Qwest to prevent unauthorized disclosure; and availability of the information to the public and extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties

Qwest has generally treated the information in question as confidential and has protected it from disclosure to parties outside the company.

Justification of the period during which Qwest asserts that the material should not be available for public disclosure

Qwest cannot determine at this time any date on which this information should not be considered confidential or would become stale for purposes of the current inquiry, except that the information would be handled in conformity with general Qwest records retention policies, absent any continuing legal hold on the data.

Other information that Owest believes may be useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted

Under applicable Commission and court rulings, the information in question should be withheld from public disclosure. Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act shields information that is (1) commercial or financial in nature; (2) obtained from a person outside government; and (3) privileged or confidential. The information in question satisfies this test.

Depreciation Rate Parameter Detail For Pole Account

				MR . C	MR - Current Paremeters	Meters					F. C.	FR - Estimated Post Waiver	faiver	
	Projection Life Ra	Projection Average Book Future Net Deprectation Life Remaining Life Reserve % Salvage % Rate a b c e=(100 c-d)/b	Book Reserve %	Future Net Salvage %	Depreciation Rate e=(100 c.d)/t	Curve Shape Parameters	arameters a	Projection Life a	Average 3ook Future Net Remaining Life Reserve % Salvage % b c d	Sook Reserve %	Future Net Salvage %	Sock Future Net Depreciation Serve & Savage % Rate c d e=(100-cd/bb	Curve Shape Parameters	arameters
Colorado														
Idaho - South														
Idaho - North														
North Dakota														
												'	Curent MR Curve Shappe Parameters	Sape Parameters
Colorado	Æ.	This view utilizes the FCC curve shape parameters	the FCC ou	rve shape pa	rameters	Parameters Established in 1995	Ished in 1995							
tdeho - South		to catolidae dhe average femaning like for each share. Established in 1995 & 1996 the curve shapes are dated.	average rem 995 & 1996 t	armig life for he curve sha	special sining.	d. Parameters Estabilished in 1996	Fshed in 1996							
Idaho - North		The change in remaining life from the estimate is due	smaining life	from the est	imate is due	Parameters Established in 1996	shed in 1996							
North Dakota		to the curve shape applied.	Dealdde ad			Parameters Established in 1995	Fished in 1995							

REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

ATTACHMENT L



Qwest 607 14th Street NW, Suite 950 Washington, DC 20005 Phone 202 429:3122 Fax 202:293:0561

Ed Henry Director - Finance

March 6, 2006

EX PARTE

FILED VIA ECFS

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission Room TW B-204 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re: In the Matter of Petition of Qwest Corporation for Waiver of Depreciation Regulation Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.3 – WC Docket No. 05-259¹

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On October 24, 2005, in the above-captioned proceeding, as a follow-up to an October 20, 2005 meeting of the undersigned, along with Jerome Mueller and Marti Gude, also of Qwest, with Tamara Preiss, Fatina Franklin, Steve Morris, Rick Robinson and Ronald Kaufman of the Wireline Competition Bureau of the FCC, Qwest submitted confidential and redacted versions of an *ex parte*. The confidential version of that *ex parte* attached a spreadsheet that was entitled "Pole Rate Analysis and State Comparison Worksheet" (the non-confidential version of the *ex parte* had attached to it a redacted version of the spreadsheet, along with a separate non-confidential document). Both the confidential and redacted versions of the *ex parte* and attachments were filed in hard copy with the Office of the Secretary.

On December 1, 2005, Qwest submitted confidential and redacted versions of an *ex parte* and various attachments that responded to questions raised by the FCC staff in connection with the October 24th submission. On December 7, 2005, the undersigned transmitted via e-mail additional information responsive to FCC staff questions and concerning pole attachment rates in Idaho and Colorado. On January 23, 2006, Qwest re-filed the confidential version of the spreadsheet that had been submitted on October 24th. The re-filed version of the confidential spreadsheet included the correct markings on each page of the document – CONFIDENTIAL—NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION – to denote its confidential status. Also included with the January 23rd submission was an appended Confidentiality Justification.

On February 9, 2006. Qwest submitted confidential and redacted versions of an *ex parte* that provided additional information relating to the curve shape parameter of the depreciation

See Public Notice, 20 FCC Rcd 13836 (rel. Aug. 22, 2005).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch March 6, 2006

Page 2 of 3

rate element of the pole attachment calculation. This information was provided as a follow-up to a January 30, 2006, meeting of the undersigned and Jerome Mueller (in person), and Betty Knapp and Marti Gude (by phone), all of Qwest, with Rodger Woock, Steve Morris, Fatina Franklin, Stephen Steckler, Rick Robinson, and Ronald Kaufman of the Wireline Competition Bureau to discuss the Qwest Petition for Waiver. Included with the February 9th ex parte was a Confidentiality Justification, along with an indication that Qwest was voluntarily submitting the confidential material pursuant to FCC Rules 47 C.F.R. Sections 0.457 and 0.459 and that should the FCC deny its request for confidentiality, then Qwest would like the information returned pursuant to FCC Rule 47 C.F.R. Section 0.459(e).

Today, Qwest is separately transmitting via e-mail to Dick Kwiatkowski of the FCC staff a revised confidential version of an Excel Workbook that includes all of the spreadsheets previously submitted to the FCC in October and December of 2005 and February of 2006. The Excel Workbook being transmitted today will enable Mr. Kwiatkowski to view the supporting formulae and worksheets "behind" the spreadsheets and upon which the relevant calculations are based.

With this letter, Qwest points out that it is voluntarily re-submitting the confidential version of these spreadsheets – in a format in which the FCC staff may view the supporting formulae and worksheets – pursuant to both FCC Rules 47 C.F.R. Sections 0.457 and 0.459. The confidential data is competitively sensitive information and FCC staff should treat it as such. The Confidentiality Justification provided for the spreadsheet submitted by Qwest on January 23rd remains relevant for the Excel Workbook being transmitted today to Mr. Kwiatkowski, but it has been modified to accommodate the additional confidential information being provided today to the FCC staff at their request. The modified Confidentiality Justification is appended to this ex parte.

Pursuant to FCC Rule 47 C.F.R. § 1.49(f), this *ex parte* presentation is being filed electronically, via ECFS. Please include it in the record of the above-captioned proceeding. As indicated above, the confidential Excel Workbook, along with a copy of this *ex parte* and the Confidentiality Justification, are being transmitted via e-mail to Mr. Dick Kwiatkowski. Other FCC staff, as indicated below, are being served with a copy of the *ex parte* and Confidentiality Justification.

Please contact the undersigned with any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Ed Henry

cc: Dick Kwiatkowski (*ex parte*, appendix and Excel Workbook)
(Richard.Kwiatkowski@fcc.gov)
Tamara Preiss (*ex parte* and appendix only) (<u>Tamara.Preiss@fcc.gov</u>)

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch March 6, 2006

Page 3 of 3

Fatina Franklin (ex parte and appendix only) (Fatina.Franklin@fcc.gov)
Steven Morris (ex parte and appendix only) (Steve.Morris@fcc.gov)
Richard Robinson (ex parte and appendix only) (Richard.Robinson@fcc.gov)
Ronald Kaufman (ex parte and appendix only) (Ronald.Kaufman@fcc.gov)
Rodger Woock (ex parte and appendix only) (Rodger.Woock@fcc.gov)
Stephen Steckler (ex parte and appendix only) (Stephen.Steckler@fcc.gov)

<u>Appendix</u>

Confidentiality Justification

Qwest requests confidential treatment of the financial information being provided, which also includes rate element data (in an Excel spreadsheet electronic format that includes the supporting formulae and worksheets "behind" the spreadsheet and upon which the relevant calculations are based) because it is confidential and proprietary financial information. That information should be afforded confidential treatment under either (or both) 47 C.F.R. § 0.457 and § 0.459.

47 C.F.R. § 0.457

The financial information designated as confidential is confidential and proprietary to Qwest as "trade secrets and commercial or financial information" under Section 0.457(d). Disclosure of such information to the public would risk revealing company-sensitive proprietary information and would have a substantial negative competitive impact on Qwest.

47 C.F.R. § 0.459

The financial information designated as confidential is also subject to protection under 47 C.F.R. § 0.459, as demonstrated below.

Information for which confidential treatment is sought

Qwest requests that the materials being separately transmitted (from the *ex parte* and this Confidentiality Justification submitted through ECFS) via e-mail directly to the FCC staff person who requested them and designated as "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION" be treated on a confidential basis under Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act. Each page of these materials contains confidential and proprietary financial information.

Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted

The information is being submitted in WC Docket No. 05-259, *In the Matter of Petition of Qwest Corporation for Waiver of Depreciation Regulation Pursuant to 47 C.F.R.* § 1.3.

Degree to which the information in question is commercial or financial, or contains a trade secret or is privileged

As noted above, the financial information designated as confidential is detailed confidential commercial financial data and accounting information. Public disclosure of this information would be harmful to Owest as it is competitively sensitive.

Degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition; and manner in which disclosure of the information could result in substantial competitive harm

This financial information relates to services that are subject to competition, is not made publicly available by Qwest and generally would not be subject to routine public inspection under the Commission's rules (47 C.F.R. § 0.457(d)), demonstrating that the Commission already anticipates that release of this kind of information likely could produce competitive harm.

Measures taken by Qwest to prevent unauthorized disclosure; and availability of the information to the public and extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties

Qwest treats this financial information as confidential and has protected it from disclosure to parties outside the company.

Justification of the period during which Qwest asserts that the material should not be available for public disclosure

Qwest cannot determine at this time any date on which this information should not be considered confidential or would become stale for purposes of the current inquiry, except that the information would be handled in conformity with general Qwest records retention policies, absent any continuing legal hold on the data.

Other information that Qwest believes may be useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted

Under applicable Commission and court rulings, the information in question should be withheld from public disclosure. Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act shields information that is (1) commercial or financial in nature; (2) obtained from a person outside government; and (3) privileged or confidential. The financial information designated as confidential satisfies this test.

ATTACHMENT M



Qwest 607 14th Street, NW, Suite 950 Washington, DC 20005 Phone 303-383-6608 Facsimile 303-896-1107

Timothy M. Boucher Corporate Counsel

REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION

Via Courier

EX PARTE

August 2, 2007

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re:

In the Matter of Petition of Qwest Corporation for Waiver of Depreciation Regulation Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.3 – WC Docket No. 05-259

Request for Confidential Treatment and Justification

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") requests confidential treatment of certain information contained in the Attachments to the appended letter from Philip E. Grate, Qwest, to Marlene H. Dortch, for filing with the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission" or "FCC") in the above-captioned proceeding (hereafter referred to as "Attachments"). The letter from Mr. Grate responds to two questions posed to Qwest by Ms. Deena Shetler of the Wireline Competition Bureau in an e-mail to Ms. Melissa Newman of Qwest on July 20, 2007.

The three attachments are entitled as follows: "Three Largest Accounts -- Difference in Net Plant Balances between FCC Books and Financial Reporting Books assuming Qwest had not changed depreciation rates for FCC accounting purposes effective January 1, 2006"; "January 1, 2007 Net Book Detail assuming Qwest had not changed depreciation rates on its FCC (MR) Books effective January 1, 2006 (\$000)"; and "Attachment A -- FR/MR Net Plant Differences as of January 1, 2007 assuming Qwest had not changed depreciation rates on its FCC (MR) Books effective January 1, 2006 (\$M)". For these Attachments only the data that is confidential has been redacted. The Attachments with confidential information (that is, the non-redacted versions) have been marked "CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION". Qwest requests that the non-redacted, confidential versions of the Attachments be withheld from public inspection. Both this request and the letter from Mr. Grate contain no confidential information.

Qwest considers certain information contained in the Attachments pertaining to detailed data associated with GAAP depreciation, hypothetical FCC depreciation reserve levels as of

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 2, 2007 Page 2 Redacted – For Public Inspection

January 1, 2007, and differences between GAAP depreciation and regulated depreciation data to be confidential. This information is confidential financial information that is "not routinely available for public inspection." As such, Qwest requests confidential treatment of this information and is submitting non-redacted versions of the Attachments pursuant to both FCC rules 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.457(d) and 0.459. Pursuant to Commission rule, 47 C.F.R. § 0.459(b), Qwest provides justification for the confidential treatment of this information in the Appendix to this letter.

Qwest is simultaneously submitting, under separate cover, the redacted versions of both Attachments, which are marked "REDACTED - FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION", wherein the confidential information has been omitted. Both the redacted and non-redacted versions of these Attachments are being served on Staff of the Commission's Wireline Competition Bureau as indicated below.

Included with both the non-redacted and redacted submissions is the same copy of the letter from Mr. Grate to Ms. Dortch, also dated August 2, 2007. For the non-redacted version of this submission. Qwest is filing an original and one copy, along with a second copy to be stamped and returned to the courier. For the redacted version, Qwest is filing an original and four copies, along with a fifth copy to be stamped and returned to the courier.

If you have any questions concerning this submission, please call me on 303-383-6608.

Sincerely,

/s/ Timothy M. Boucher

Attachments

Copy (via e-mail) to:
Al Lewis (albert.lewis@fcc.gov)
Deena Shetler (deena.shetler@fcc.gov)

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 2, 2007 Page 3 Redacted – For Public Inspection

APPENDIX

Confidentiality Justification

Qwest requests confidential treatment of certain information provided in the Attachments to the letter from Philip E. Grate, Qwest, to Marlene H. Dortch, dated August 2, 2007, for filing with the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission") in WC Docket No. 05-259 (hereafter referred to as "Attachments"). The letter from Mr. Grate responds to two questions posed to Qwest by Ms. Deena Shetler of the Wireline Competition Bureau in an e-mail to Ms. Melissa Newman of Qwest on July 20, 2007. Information contained in the Attachments is confidential financial information that is not routinely made available for public inspection. Such information should be afforded confidential treatment under both 47 C.F.R. § 0.457(d) and § 0.459.

47 C.F.R. § 0.457(d)

Information contained in the Attachments is confidential and proprietary to Qwest as "commercial or financial information" under Section 0.457(d). Disclosure of such information to the public would risk revealing company-sensitive proprietary financial information. Therefore, in the normal course of Commission practice this information should be considered "Records not routinely available for public inspection."

47 C.F.R. § 0.459

Specific information in the Attachments is also subject to protection under 47 C.F.R. § 0.459, as demonstrated below.

Information for which confidential treatment is sought

Qwest requests that the information contained in the Attachments be treated on a confidential basis under Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act. This information is competitively-sensitive financial information which Qwest maintains as confidential and is not normally made available to the public. Release of the information could have a substantial negative competitive impact on Qwest. The confidential information is contained in the non-redacted version of Qwest's Attachments, which are marked with the following legend: **CONFIDENTIAL – NOT FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION**.

Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted

The information is being submitted in *In the Matter of Petition of Qwest Corporation for Waiver of Depreciation Regulation Pursuant to 47 C.F.R.* § 1.3 – WC Docket No. 05-259.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 2, 2007 Page 4 Redacted – For Public Inspection

Degree to which the information in question is commercial or financial, or contains a trade secret or is privileged

The financial information designated as confidential is commercial and financial information in the form of detailed data associated with GAAP depreciation and differences between GAAP and regulated depreciation data. As noted above, the data is commercially and financially-sensitive information which is not normally released to the public as such release could have a substantial negative competitive impact on Qwest.

Degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition; and manner in which disclosure of the information could result in substantial competitive harm

The type of competitively-sensitive financial information in the Attachments would generally not be subject to routine public inspection under the Commission's rules (47 C.F.R. § 0.457(d)), which demonstrates that the Commission already anticipates that the release of this kind of information likely would produce competitive harm. Qwest confirms that release of its confidential and proprietary information would cause it competitive harm by allowing its competitors to become aware of sensitive proprietary financial information regarding the operation of Qwest's business.

Measures taken by Qwest to prevent unauthorized disclosure; and availability of the information to the public and extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties

Qwest has treated and treats the information disclosed in its non-redacted *ex parte* as confidential and has protected it from public disclosure to parties outside of the company.

Justification of the period during which Qwest asserts that the material should not be available for public disclosure

Qwest cannot determine at this time any date on which this information should not be considered confidential or would become stale for purposes of the current proceeding, except that the information would be handled in conformity with general Qwest records retention policies, absent any continuing legal hold on the data.

Other information that Qwest believes may be useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted

Under applicable Commission and court rulings, the information in question should be withheld from public disclosure. Exemption 4 of the Freedom of Information Act shields information that is (1) commercial or financial in nature; (2) obtained from a person outside government; and (3) privileged or confidential. The information in question satisfies this test.



Qwest
1600 7th Avenue, Room 2911
Seattle, WA 98191
Phone 206-345-6224
Facsimile 206-346-9001
e-mail: Phil.Grate@qwest.com

Philip E. Grate Director – State and Federal Relations

EX PARTE

August 2, 2007

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Re: In the Matter of Petition of Qwest Corporation for Waiver of Depreciation Regulation Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.3 – WC Docket No. 05-259

Dear Ms. Dortch:

On July 22, 2005 Qwest Corporation ("Qwest") filed a petition seeking waiver of the Federal Communications Commission's ("Commission") depreciation prescription process in accordance with the waiver requirements the Commission established in the *USTA Depreciation Order*.

On May 30, 2007 Qwest filed an *ex parte* regarding the above-captioned proceeding containing responses to five questions from the Wireline Competition Bureau. On June 20, 2007. Phil Grate, in person, and Tim Boucher, Jerome Mueller and Glenda Weibel, by telephone, all of Qwest, and Jim Hannon, by telephone, representing Qwest, met with Albert Lewis, Deena Shetler, Cindy Spiers and Doug Slotten of the Wireline Competition Bureau to discuss the answers Qwest filed May 30. On July 20, Ms. Deena Shetler sent Melissa Newman of Qwest an e-mail asking two follow up questions. Following are Ms. Shetler's questions and Qwest's responses.

Question 1:

With regard to the charts attached to Qwest's May 30 ex parte, in response to Question 3 on page 7, you provided information on the three largest accounts' net plant differences. Please provide that same information assuming that Qwest had not made the technical update. Please also provide the same "Attachment A" you provided with your May 30 ex parte but assume that Qwest had not made the technical update.

¹ In the Matter of 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review – Review of Depreciation Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers; United States Telephone Association's Petition for Forbearance from Depreciation Regulation of Price Cap Local Exchange Carriers, Report and Order in CC Docket No. 98-137, Memorandum Opinion and Order in ASD 98-91, 15 FCC Red 242 (1999).

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 2, 2007

Page 2 of 4

Response:

Attached is a printout of a Microsoft Excel workbook which contains three worksheets.

Page 1 of 3 is a worksheet entitled "Three Largest Accounts" that summarizes the difference in net plant between Qwest's FCC books and Financial Reporting books assuming Qwest had not changed its depreciation rates for FCC accounting purposes effective January 1, 2006. The worksheet provides beginning of year balances and annual activity for each of the three largest accounts, all other accounts and the aggregate of all accounts.

Page 2 of 3 is a worksheet entitled "Net Book Detail" that provides investment, reserve and net plant balances by account as of January 1, 2007 on Qwest's FCC books and Financial Reporting books assuming Qwest had not changed depreciation rates for FCC accounting purposes effective January 1, 2006.

Page 3 of 3 is a worksheet entitled "Attachment A" that provides a breakdown of Net Plant Differences between Qwest's FCC (MR) books and Financial Reporting (FR) books as of January 1, 2007 assuming Qwest had not changed depreciation rates on its MR Books effective January 1, 2006. The worksheet shows by account Qwest's MR reserves, FR reserves, the difference between Qwest's MR and FR reserves, the amount of the MR/FR reserve difference attributable to differences in accounting for cost of removal (COR) under FAS 143 and the amount of MR/FR reserve difference attributable to parameters and timing differences. The worksheet also shows the amount of the MR/FR net plant difference attributable to other investment differences and the total MR/FR net plant difference.

Question 2:

How many Qwest states set their own depreciation rates and how many use the FCC lives?

Response:

For the most part state regulatory commissions have abandoned the practice of prescribing Qwest's depreciation rates. With the exception of Arizona, no state regulatory commission has released a depreciation prescription order for Qwest in this decade. In several states, Qwest no longer reports depreciation expense to the state commission.

The Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC") is the exception. The ACC issued a depreciation prescription order in 2000. In 2006 the ACC issued Decision No. 68604 adopting (with modifications not relevant here) a settlement agreement for an alternative form of regulation plan. The settlement agreement provided for Qwest to lengthen its asset lives and reduce its depreciation rates on its Arizona jurisdictional (JR) books.

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch August 2, 2007

Page 3 of 4

Qwest currently uses state regulatory commission prescribed depreciation lives and factors in seven states, including Arizona. In these seven states Qwest maintains two sets of regulatory books—the JR books, which are state jurisdictional books, and the MR books, which are kept for FCC reporting purposes.

In its other seven states, Qwest keeps one set of books—the MR books—for both FCC and state regulatory purposes. Qwest no longer maintains JR books in these seven states largely because they do not rely on cost of service regulation. Instead, the state regulatory commissions in these seven states regulate Qwest under alternative forms of regulation that are unconcerned with cost of service measures such as depreciation expense.

The seven-to-seven split will probably become eight-to-six. On July 24, 2007 the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission ("WUTC") issued Order No. 6 in Docket UT-061624. The order adopts an alternative form of regulation for Qwest. Among other things, Order No. 6 allows Qwest to discontinue JR accounting and to keep one set of books—its MR books—for both FCC and Washington regulatory purposes. The pertinent language follows:

Qwest will keep its books of accounts in accordance with WAC 480-120-355. The accounting method that Qwest commits to use is the same accounting method that it uses to maintain its books for FCC reporting purposes (MR Books).

* * *

Qwest shall file an annual report of Washington intrastate regulated results of operations. The report shall be based on Qwest's MR Books and shall include the following adjustments:

- a) a directory revenue credit in the amount specified by the settlement agreement the Commission approved in the Dex case;
- b) a credit to its depreciation reserve required for prior sale of rural exchanges;
- c) a credit to its depreciation reserve for sharing under a prior AFOR; and
- d) a standing adjustment reflecting the difference in rate base between its MR Books and its Washington Jurisdictional Books of Account (JR Books) on the date of transition from JR books to MR Books.

The language regarding discontinuance of JR accounting is found in a settlement agreement between the WUTC Staff and Qwest. As the parties entered into settlement negotiations Qwest made sure the WUTC Staff was fully informed of Qwest's petition for waiver of the Commission's depreciation rules and of Qwest's expectation that the Commission would grant the petition. It is my understanding and belief that by agreeing to the language quoted above the WUTC Staff did not consider the Commission's granting of Qwest's petition to be problematic in the ongoing regulation of Qwest in Washington. The WUTC's order is not yet effective.